Enabling Better Green Supply Chain Management

H. Scott Matthews Carnegie Mellon University







Why Care?
* Policies affecting business on multiple levels:

* Direct cost increases
* Indirect (supply chain) costs
* Consumer/investor perception/activism
* Compliance (govt, retail) -> labels?

* Large data demands; both inside and outside companies' organizational boundaries



What tools are available?

*** "Carbon footprint" tools**

Scope 3: Supply Chain Emissions, Air Travel, Waste, Use

> Scope 2: Purchased Electricity

Scope 1: Stationary Combustion

Combustion (Company Fleets)

* Life Cycle Assessment

NSTITUT



Protocol Boundaries for Entities CCAR, WRI/WBCSD, Others

* Scope 1 - Direct Emissions (Fleet, Fuels)
* Scope 2 - Purchased Energy Emissions
* Scope 3 - Indirect (supply chain) Emissions

* These may / not include non-CO2 GHGs



What's the Difference?

*** Consumer Goods have** large shares of emissions in supply chain * "Footprint" can't adequately measure risk



Source: EIO-LCA model, http://www.eiolca.net

75% OF PRODUCTS HAVE MORE THAN 75% OF EMISSIONS IN SCOPE 3

Life Cycle (supply chain) Approach * Two main schools: Top-down vs. Bottom-up

| Method | Resources | Specificity | Completeness | Functional Unit |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|
| Process LCA | Substantial; data intensive | High; Product- specific | Cut-off Issues | Mass or product level (kg, units, etc) |
| EIO-LCA (top-down) | Relatively small | Low; Average Sector Production | Complete by definition | Economic Value (\$, etc) |

Economic Input-Output Life Cycle Assessment (EIO-LCA) * Developed CMU 1995 - full supply chain * Available on Internet (www.eiolca.net) * First free LCA tool, 1 million uses to date * Actively used by companies * Data and model - continual development ***** Renewed interest - carbon management reen Desig



Hybrid Assessment: Best of Both * EIO-LCA fast and complete, but averaged * Process LCA more exact but takes large amounts of \$ and time * Can combine best of both methods to achieve more exact but still complete assessments ***** What data types are available?



Data for Hybrid Assessment * Emissions generally estimated from energy * Often this information in ERP systems

| Name | Level of analysis | Units | Product or Facility |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Primary Facility Level Data | Facility | CO ₂ e/yr | Facility-level or product-level |
| Secondary LCI data | Process or Product | CO ₂ e/kg (usually) | Product-level (usually) |
| Registry-type Data | Facility or group of facilities | CO ₂ e/yr | Facility-level |
| EIO-LCA (top- down) | Group of Industries | CO2e/\$/yr | supply-chain of facilities |
| | | | |

Overall Goal

Facility Energy -> GHG data

GHG data from suppliers

Average data from EIO-LCA

Available specific data

Supply Chain GHG Emissions Estimate

Eco-labels (embodied GHG)

"Carbon Risk" Estimate Supply Chain/Design Decisions

Relevance of Uncertainty * Allocation issues (facility vs. product) * Determining necessary precision * Linking uncertainty to results - visualization ***** Tools to identify most uncertain parts? * "Labels" ignoring uncertainty * Developing framework for IT industry



Thank You Questions?

hsm@cmu.edu



